

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/743,693	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ALLEN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Ehud Gartenberg	<b>Art Unit</b> 3746

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) Ehud Gartenberg.(3) Robert Reeser.(2) Patrick Rasche.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 April 2005**Time:** AM w/Rasche and PM w/Reeser**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 6, 10, 14, 17, 18

Prior art documents discussed:

Vdoviak 4,989,406

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Agreement was reached on the Examiner's amendment. Claim 1 was amended to obviate a possible rejection under 35USC112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, claims 6 and 14 were amended to define over the prior art of record.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)